



ORTRAN COMPILER VALIDATION SUMMARY REPORT.

1111 1 3 3

VALIDATION NUMBER FCVS66-VSR255

Date: 1977

Prepared By:

FEDERAL COBOL COMPILER TESTING SERVICE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20376

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

408 138



FORTRAN COMPILER VALIDATION

1. Validation Number

FCVS66-VSR255

2. Vendor

Burroughs Corporation

3. Mainframe

Burroughs 87700

4. Compiler Identification

FORTRAN II.9

5. Operating System Identification

MCP II.9

6. Compiler Validation System Version Number FCVS66 1.2

*PLEASE NOTE. The Department of the Navy may make full and free public disclosure of the Validation Summary Report (VSR) in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. #552). The results of this validadation are only for the purpose of satisfying United States Government requirements, and apply only to the Computer System, Operating System release, and compiler version identified in the VSR. The FORTRAN Compiler Validation System is used to determine, insofar as is practical, the degree to which the subject compiler conforms to American Standard FORTRAN, x3.9-1966. Thus, the VSR is necessarily discretionary and judgmental. The United States Government does not represent or warrant that the statements, or any one of them, set forth in the VSR are accurate or complete. The VSR is not meant to be used for the purpose of publicizing the findings summarized therein.

For information concerning this compiler you can contact the vendor's designated representative named below:

Mr. Jay Wolf Burroughs Corporation Federal and Special Systems Group P.O. Box 517 Paoli, Pennsylvania 19301



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	1.	INTRODUCTION
	1.1	Purpose of the Validation Summary Report
	1.2	Preparation of the VSR
	1.3	Organization of the VSR
	1.4	Use of the VSR
	1.5	Sources of Additional Information
SECTION	2.	DETAILED EVALUATION OF ERRORS
	2.1	Syntactical Errors
	2.2	Semantic Errors
SECTION	3.	SOFTWARE ENVIRONMENT
APPENDI	X A -	VALIDATION SUMMARY WORKING DOCUMENT

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the Validation Summary Report

The purpose of the Validation Summary Report (VSR) is to identify individual FORTRAN language elements whose implementation does not conform to the language specifications defined in American Standard FORTRAN, X3.9-1966.

1.2 Preparation of the VSR

The Validation Summary Report is prepared by analyzing the results of running the FORTRAN Compiler Validation System (FCVS). The FORTRAN Compiler Validation System consists of audit routines containing features of American Standard FORTRAN, their related data, and an Executive Routine which prepares the audit routines for compilation. Each audit routine is a FORTRAN program which includes many tests and supporting procedures indicating the result of the tests.

The testing of a compiler in a particular hardware/operating system environment is accomplished by compiling and executing each audit routine. The report produced by each routine tells whether the compiler passed or failed the tests in the routine. If the compiler rejects some language elements by terminating compilation, giving fatal diagnostic messages, or terminating execution abnormally, then the test containing the code the compiler was unable to process is deleted. The audit routine is compiled again and execution is repeated.

The compilation listings and the output reports of the audit routines constitute the raw data from which the members of the Federal COBOL Compiler Testing Service produce a Validation Summary Report.

1.3 Organization of the VSR

The Validation Summary Report is made up of several sections whose contents are described below.

- a. Section 2 summarizes the results of the compilation and execution of the programs comprising the FORTRAN Compiler Validation System. Section 2 is divided into a subsection describing the syntax errors encountered while compiling the FORTRAN audit routines, and a subsection describing the semantic errors which occurred during execution of the FORTRAN audit routines.
- b. Section 3 contains information which describes the software environment in which the compiler was tested. This includes the name and version of the operating system and the logical unit/physical device assignments used in the programs comprising the FCVS. The options used with the compiler are also given, and if applicable, the use of compiler optimization features is explained.
- c. Appendix A is the Validation Summary Working Document, a working paper resulting from the compilation and execution of the FCVS. The VSR is derived from Appendix A.

The Department of the Navy may make full and free public disclosure of the Validation Summary Report (VSR) in accordance with the "Freedom of Information Act" (5 U.S.C. #552). The results of the validation are only for the purposes of satisfying United States Government requirements, and apply only to the computer system, operating system release, and compiler version identified in the VSR.

The FORTRAN Compiler Validation System is used to determine, insofar as is practical, the degree to which the subject compiler conforms to the FORTRAN Standard. Thus, the VSR is necessarily discretionary and judgmental. The United States Government does not represent or warrant that the statements, or any one of them, set forth in the VSR are accurate or complete. The VSR is not meant to be used for the purpose of publicizing the findings summarized therein.

1.5 Sources of Additional Information

The detailed FORTRAN language specifications are given in the publication "American Standard FORTRAN, X3.9-1966", available from the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, New York 10018.

An explanation of the FORTRAN Compiler Validation System is contained in the FCVS User's Guide. This document explains how to run the compiler validation system. The User's Guide and a magnetic tape containing a copy of the FCVS programs are available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia, 22151. (Ordering information can be obtained from the Federal COBOL Compiler Testing Service.)

SECTION 2. DETAILED EVALUATION OF ERRORS.

This section summarizes the results of the compilation and execution of the programs comprising the FORTRAN Compiler Validation System (FCVS). The version of the FCVS used during this validation is shown inside the front cover of the VSR.

Section 2 is made up of two subsections. The first subsection describes each syntax error encountered during compilation of the audit routines, and the second subsection describes the semantic errors encountered during execution of the audit routines.

Each error or deviation noted in this section makes reference to a program contained in Appendix A (Validation Summary Working Document). This reference provides the documented results of an occurrence of errors/deviations detected during the running of the FCVS using the compiler within the environment identified in this document. The Validation Summary Working Document is presented in sequence by program number.

2.1 Syntactical Errors

The compiler produced a fatal error message for an arithmetic assignment statement containing 57 nested parentheses. (See FMO45.)

X3.9-1966 References: Section 6.1, Arithmetic Expressions
Section 7.1.1.1, Arithmetic Assignment Statement

2.2 Semantic Errors

No semantic errors occurred during the execution of the FCVS audit routines.

SECTION 3. SOFTWARE ENVIRONMENT.

The compiler referenced in this document was validated using the software environment described in this section. When using a modification of the described environment, the compiler may or may not continue to conform to the Standard. It should be noted that during the validation process, an attempt is made to validate as many different options as possible.

The use of compiler options, logical unit/physical device assignments, and any form of optimization which is not described in this report could cause the compiler to produce a program that does not perform according to the specifications of Standard FORTRAN. Only the environment described in this document has been used with this compiler to satisfy the validation requirements of the Department of the Navy.

1. Options or parameters used on the processor call statement for the compiler.

Options specified:

? COMPILE FMOND [ET] WITH FORTRAN [CT]; DATA CARD \$ SET LINEINFO

2. Logical Unit/Physical Device Assignments.

Printer Destined Files:

Logical unit 06 was assigned to a printer output file by using the following FILE source card within the program:

FILE 6(KIND=6, MAXRECSIZE=22)

and ET control card:

? ET(FILE FILE6(TITLE=FCVS/FILE6,INTMODE=EBCDIC));

Tape Files:

Logical unit D7 was assigned to a tape file by using the following FILE source card within the program:

FILE 7(KIND=15, MAXRECSIZE=20, BLOCKSIZE=300)

and ET control card:

? ET(FILE FILE7(TITLE=FCVS/FILE7.INTMODE=EBCDIC.AREAS=100.
AREASIZE=90.SAVEFACTOR=2.PROTECTION=SAVE));

Sequential Mass-storage Files:

Logical unit 07 was assigned to a mass-storage device by using the following FILE source card within the program:

FILE 7(KIND=1, MAXRECSIZE=20, BLOCKSIZE=300)

and the EI control card:

? ET(FILE FILE?(TITLE=FCVS/FILE?,INTMODE=EBCDIC,AREAS=100, AREASIZE=90,PROTECTION=SAVE));

Card Input Files:

Logical unit 05 was assigned to a mass-storage file which contained the card image read by FMO15. The FILE source card used was:

FILE 5(KIND=1, FILETYPE=7)

and the ET control card was:

? ET(F1 FILES(TITLE=FCVS/FILES,INIMODE=EBCDIC));

3. Optimization compiler may or may not have optimization features. If there was an immization feature available, it was used during the validation process (during a separate execution of the Compiler Validation System) to determine if its use causes the compiler to produce a program which does not give the expected results. If the optimization is invoked through the compiler call statement then it is mentioned in paragraph 1 above. If it is invoked through the introduction of a compiler directing source program statement, it is shown below. Optimization which would require modification to source program statements is not considered in this report in that it is beyond the scope of the use of Standard FORTRAN and the validation process.

The default optimization setting (OPT=0) was used for this validation. The the compiler was not validated for any other optimization settings.

4. Compiler.

FORTRAN II.9

5. Operating system.

MCP II.9

APPENDIX A

VALIDATION SUMMARY WORKING DOCUMENT

This appendix is a working paper produced during the validation and documents the results of the compilation and execution of each of the programs comprising the ECVS. The results contained herein are based on the use of the compiler within the Validation Environment identified in this appendix. This appendix (Validation Summary Working Document) is not part of the official Validation Summary Report (VSR), and it is not intended to reflect in any way the compiler's usefulness or degree of conformance to the language specifications.

The reader of this appendix should keep in mind that the same problem area may appear in more than one program but is considered only as a single discrepancy, and the problem is reflected only once in the body of the VSR. (The VSR will in turn only reference the first occurrence of the problem in the appendix.)

This appendix is divided into four parts. The first part describes the Validation Environment. The second part lists the Monitor Input Cards used in creating a job control stream for execution in the batch mode. The third part shows the control cards required to compile and execute an individual program. The fourth part of the document is divided into two categories of information: compilation results and execution results. Information items, such as compiler warning messages, are included in the summary of compilation and execution results.

The reference document for FORTRAN is American Standard FORTRAN, X3.9-1966.

VALIDATION ENVIRONMENT

COMPILER IDENTIFICATION:

FORTRAN II.9

COMPUTER SYSTEM:

Burroughs 87700

OPERATING SYSTEM:

MCP II.9

Each of the programs comprising the FCVS was compiled with the default optimization setting (OPT=0). The programs which test I/O, FM100 through FM108, were run twice. The programs were first run with the output logical unit assigned to a mass-storage device, and then rerun with the output logical unit assigned to a tape device.

CCVS MONITOR INPUT CARDS

The CCVS Executive Routine was used to prepare the FCVS programs for execution. The Monitor Control Cards used as input to the CCVS Executive are listed below:

*CCVSVR NONE
*DATE 770913
*ALTSYSCODE *
*LIST UPDATES.XCARDS.CTL.INSERT

*END-MONITOR

(FORTRAN routine selection cards)

```
1-01
      * JOB VALIDATEFORTRAN;
1-02
      USER=XXXXXXXXXXXX
I-03
       FAMILY DISK=LSSPACK OTHERWISE PACK;
1-04
      BEGIN
1-05 * ET(FILE FILES(TITLE=FCVS/FILES,INTMODE=EBCDIC));
1-06 * ET(FILE FILE6(TITLE=FCVS/FILE6,INTMODE=EBCDIC));
       * ET(FILE FILE7(TITLE=FCVS/FILE7,INTMODE=EBCDIC,AREAS=100,
1-07
I-08
                       AREASIZE=90, PROTECTION=SAVE));
1-09
B-0111 * COMPILE XXXXX EFT] WITH FORTRAN [CI];
B-02
       DATA CARD
       S SET LINEINFO
B-03
       FILE 5(KIND=1,FILFTYPE=7)
8-04
8-05
       FILE 6(KIND=6, MAXRECSIZE=22)
       FILE 7(KIND=1, MAXRECSIZE=20, BLOCKSIZE=300)
8-06
8-07
E-01
T-01
      END JOB
T-02
```

CONTROL CARDS FOR RUNNING FCVS PROGRAMS

The job control stream for running the FCVS programs consisted of the following control cards:

(FORTRAN source code for program FMOO1)

? COMPILE FMOD2 [ET] WITH FORTRAN [CT];
DATA CARD

\$ SET LINEINFO

FILE 5(KIND=1.FILETYPE=7)

FILE 6(KIND=6.MAXRECSIZE=22)

FILE 7(KIND=1.MAXRECSIZE=20.BLOCKSIZE=300)

(FORTRAN source code for program FMOD2)

END JOB

RUN SUMMARIES

FM001 through FM012

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

FM013

A. Compilation

The statement

60 TO I, (1262,1263,1264)

where neither 1262 nor 1264 appear in an ASSIEN statement generates the warning messages

WARNING: "1262" LABEL APPEARED IN ASSIGNED-GO-TO BUT NOT ASSIGN WARNING: "1264" LABEL APPEARED IN ASSIGNED-GO-TO BUT NOT ASSIGN

These are valid warning messages.

B. Execution

No errors.

FM014

A. Compilation

No errors.

P. Execution

No errors.

FM015

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

1. The PAUSE statement, PAUSE 0123, displays the lines

DISPLAY: PAUSE 123.
PROGRAMATICALLY SUSPENDED

2. The octal digits in a SIOP 0247 statement are not displayed.

The above results are not considered errors and are included for completeness only. For the PAUSE statement the FORTRAN Standard states, "At the time of cessation of execution the octal digit string is accessible."

In a published clarification, the FORTRAN Standard Committee stated: "The accessibility of n in a STOP statement is intentionally not specified in the standard. By not so specifying, the standard permits the practice of terminating program execution without necessarily making n accessible."

FM016 through FM044

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

FM045

A. Compilation

Test 759 in FMO45 was flagged as a fatal error. The statement tests the use of 57 nested parentheses to enclose the right-hand side of an arithmetic assignment statement. The source code is:

The fatal message stated:

"(" COMPILER LIMIT FOR OPT=O EXPRESSIONS EXCEEDED--DIVIDE THE EXPRESSION INTO SUBEXPRESSIONS

(Although not part of the official validation which was performed for the default optimization setting (OPT=0), the program FMO45 compiled successfully with OPT=1 specified.)

B. Execution

Test 759 had to be deleted. All other tests executed correctly.

FMOSO through FMO62

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

FM080 through FM083

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

FM097 through FM099

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

FORTRAN I/O Programs - FM100 through FM109

The I/O programs were executed with the output logical unit assigned to a tape device and rerun with the output logical unit assigned to a mass-storage device.

FM100 through FM109

A. Compilation

No errors.

B. Execution

No errors.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. Report No. FCVS66-VSR255	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.		
4. Title and Subtitle			5. Report Date		
Validation Summar	y Report #FCVS66-VSR255				
Burroughs B7700 F	ORTRAN II-9		6.		
7. Author(s)	dan ass 0		8. Performing Organization Rept.		
Same as organizat 9. Performing Organization			10 D : - /T - 1 /W - 1 / 1 / 1 / 1		
Federal COBOL Com	10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.				
Department of the	11. Contract/Grant No.				
	11. Contract/Grant No.				
washington, be	20376				
12. Sponsoring Organization	Name and Address		13. Type of Report & Period		
	ocessing Equipment Selecti	on Office	Covered		
Department of the					
Washington, DC	14.				
15. Supplementary Notes					
16. Abstracts	- (200) 6	n 1 n	7700 FORTHAN C		
	ummary Report (VSR) for th				
Version II.9 (MCP	Version II.9) provides a	consolidated	summary of the results		
obtained from the	validation of the subject	compiler aga	inst the FURIKAN Standard		
	VSR is made up of several				
	lude an overview of the va		h lists all categories		
of discrepancies	by chapter within X3.9-196	6.			
17. Key Words and Document	Analysis. 17a. Descriptors				
Wey words and bocument	mary sis. We Descriptors				
Programming Langu	ages				
Standards					
Compilers					
FORTRAN					
Verifying					
Proving Program C	orrectness				
Software Engineer					
17b. Identifiers/Open-Ended	Torms				
FCVS					
	Tetms				
CVS	Terms				
	Terms				
	Terms				
	Terms				
cvs					
cvs	09/02				
cvs	09/02	[19. Sc	ecurity Class (This 21. No. of Pages		
CVS 17c. COSATI Field/Group 18. Availability Statement	09/02 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	A 19. Sc	eport)		
CVS 17c. COSATI Field/Group	09/02 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	A 20. S	ecurity Class (This eport) UNCLASSIFIED ecurity Class (This age UNCLASSIFIED		

THIS FORM MAY BE REPRODUCED

USCOMM-DC 14952-P72

FORM NTIS-35 (REV. 3-72)